ORIGINAL



Richard L. Sallquist
Sallquist, Drummond & O'Connor, P.C.

Suite 339
Tempe, Arizona 85282
Phone: (480) 839-5202

RECEIVED

2006 MAR 14 P 1: 18

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Fax: (480) 345-0412

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF JOHNSON UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR WASTEWATER SERVICE.

DOCKET NO. WS-02987A-04-0889

APPLICATION TO AMEND DECISON NO. 68236

Johnson Utilities, LLC, ("Johnson" or the "Company") hereby requests that pursuant to ARS §40-252 the Commission amend Decision No. 68236 in the subject Docket in the manner, and for the reasons, herein stated as follows:

- 1. On October 25, 2005, the Commission issued Decision No. 68236 granting the Company's requested extension of its wastewater Certificate of Convenience and the Necessity ("CC&N") to provide service to an area known as Section 17, a master planned community of approximately 640 acres being developed by among others, Richmond-American Homes and Pulte Homes Corporation. The area had previously been the subject of an Arizona Utility Service & Supply, Inc. ("AUSS") CC&N Application.
- 2. As a condition of that Opinion and Order, the Commission ordered "... that Johnson Utilities Company shall procure a \$500,000 performance bond, with proof of such performance bond filed in Docket Control, as a compliance item in this case, prior to retail

51030.00000.1684

5

6

4

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

service being provided to any customers in the CC&N extension area." (Decision No. 68236, Page 11, Line 20).

- 3. As testified to in the hearing on this matter, the Company has been providing service to the subject area under a Bulk Billing Agreement, that is, billing the Developer of the project at the residential rates. This was due to the prior CC&N applicant, AUSS, not being able to provide the required treatment services. As of this date, the Company has not billed the individual service location addresses directly.
- 4. The Company made numerous attempts to obtain the required bond terms and conditions from Staff in a format Staff would find to be in compliance with the requirements of the Decision. On about December 16, 2005, the Company received a form of bond acceptable to Staff. A copy of that Performance Bond is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and incorporated herein by this reference for all purposes.
- 5. Following consultation with a number of bonding companies and banks, the Company docketed a Letter of Credit in this docket on January 23, 2006, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** and incorporated herein by this reference for all purposes. It should be noted that this Letter of Credit has terms identical in substance to the Performance Bond form provided by Staff.
- 6. Staff verbally questioned the Company as to the Letter of Credit's compliance with the Decision. The Company responded on January 26, 2006 in a letter from Counsel attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** and incorporated herein by this reverence for all purposes. That letter sets forth the reasons the Letter of Credit is actually a greater assurance to the Commission then would be a Performance Bond. In addition to the arguments set forth in **Exhibit 3**, the

	_
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

1

Company's submits that the cost of a Letter of Credit is significantly less than that of a Performance Bond. These lower costs result in a savings to the Company's ratepayers.

- 7. The Company received no response from that letter. It made numerous requests as to the acceptability of the Letter of Credit because the Decision required compliance "...prior to providing retail service".
- 8. The Company again requested a clarification on this matter from Staff on March 3, 2006, at which time the Staff indicated the Letter of Credit was unacceptable and not consistent with the requirements of the Decision.

WHEREFORE, the Company hereby requests that the Commission amend Decision No. 68236 to include in the Ordering Paragraph language that indicates in effect that "... a performance bond, Certificate of Deposit, or Letter of Credit..." would provide the necessary assurances for the Commission.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this And day of March 2006.

SALLQUIST, DRUMMOND & 0'CONNOR, P.C.

Richard L. Sallquist

4500 South Lakeshore Drive, Suite 339

Tempe, Arizona 85282 Phone: (480) 839-5202 Fax:(480)345-0412

23

1	
2	Original and fifteen copies of the
3	foregoing filed this Arday of March 2006:
4	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission
5	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	
7	A copy of the foregoing mailed/hand delivered this day of March 2005, to:
8	
9	Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission
10	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	Utilities Division
12	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	
14	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16) (),
17	
18	
19	

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MARC SPITZER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES



BRIAN C. McNEIL Executive Director

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

December 16, 2005

Mr. Richard L. Sallquist Sallquist, Drummond & O'Connor, P.C. 4500 S. Lakeshore Drive Tempe, Arizona 85282

RE: BOND FORM INFORMATION

Dear Mr. Sallquist:

In response to your previous request, enclosed please find copies of two bonds filed with the Commission. These pages were copied from a filing which was docketed on February 11, 2004. The cover page of that filing is included.

For your convenience, the information has been sent via regular mail and facsimile. The information is being sent to you unedited from the original filing. Since the filing was docketed, it is clearly a public document. This item has been available to you at all times through docket control.

As you now have copies of a simple bond form for small firms (from previous requests you made similar to this one) and the enclosed form for what is a larger utility, I trust that you will have the bond form information that you can utilize in the future.

Sincerely,

Brian K. Bozzo

Manager, Compliance and Enforcement

RECEIVED

FENNEMORE CRAIG	2004 FEB	11	Р	ц:	21
A Professional Corporation Jay L. Shapiro	ı AZ CORF))	aa Wi	99	101
Patrick J. Black	DOCUME	HT.	KCC	ŤŘ	ÛL
3003 North Central Avenu	e 5000: 12				
Suite 2600					

RECEIVED

FEB 12 2004

✓ CORPORATION COMMISSIO DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES

4 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone (602) 916-5000

Attorneys for Santa Cruz Water Company and Palo Verde Utilities Company

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. SW-03575A-03-0167

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY, FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. W-03576A-03-0167

NOTICE OF FILING

Santa Cruz Water Company and Palo Verde Utilities Company ("Utilities") hereby submits this Notice of Filing in the above referenced matter. Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") Decision No. 66394 (October 6, 2003) ordered Utilities to increase their performance bonds to \$500,000 each, and file proof with the Commission prior to providing service in the extended service area.

On October 29, 2003, Utilities filed proof with the Commission that each had increased existing performance bonds to \$500,000 by obtaining bonds in the amount of \$418,000 for Santa Cruz Water Company, and \$382,000 for Palo Verde Utilities Company. On February 5, 2004, Staff contacted Utilities informing the companies that the performance bonds filed on October 29, 2003 had been cancelled. Although Commission Staff was made aware of these cancellations soon afterwards, Utilities' management was not notified until February 5, 2004, and promptly responded by letter on

13

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

23

2425

26

FENNEMORE CRAIG ROFESSIONAL CORPORATION PHOENIX Bond No. 08742106

Bond

Know All Men By These Presents, That we, Palo Verde Utilities Company, LLC, as Principal and Fidelity And Deposit Company of Maryland, A Maryland corporation authorized to do business in the State of Arizona, as Surety are held and firmly bound unto the Arizona Corporation Commission in the amount of Three Hundred Eighty Two Thousand and 00/100 (\$382,000), lawful money of the United States of America for the payment of which the Principal and Surety are hereby jointly and severally bound.

Now Therefore, if the said Principal, or any assigns of his fails to provide competitive water services so furnished, the said Surety will pay the same to the users of the Principal with the consent of the Arizona Corporation Commission as Trustee, an amount not exceeding the sum hereinabove specified, then this obligation shall be null and void; otherwise it shall remain in full force and effect.

Provided Further, that regardless of the number of years this bond shall continue in force and the number of premiums which shall be payable or paid, the Surety shall not be liable thereunder for a larger amount, in the aggregate, than the amount of the bond.

Provided Further, that should the Surety so elect, this bond may be cancelled by the Surety as to subsequent liability by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing by certified mail to Obligee.

Signed, sealed and dated this 10th Day of February, 2004.

Palo Verde Utilities Company, LLC Fidelity And Deposit Company of Maryland

By:

Bv:

Virginia Bradley, Attorney-In-Fact 3020 E. Camelback Rd. #200 Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Luginie Bradles

602-381-2877

Power of Attorney FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND

HOME OFFICE, BALTIMORE, MD

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That the FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, a corporation of the State of Maryland, by C. M. PECOT, JR., Vice-President, and C. W. ROBBINS, Assistant Secretary, in pursuance of authority granted by Article VI, Section 2, of the By-Laws of said Company, which are set forth on the reverse side hereof and are hereby certified to be in full force and effect on the date hereof, does hereby nominate, constitute and appoint Virginia Bradley of Phoenix, Arizona.

The state of the s
all all
its true and lawful agent and Attorney-in-Fact, to make, execute, seal and deliver, for and on its behalf as surety, and as its act and deed:
any and all bonds and undertakings
Ted the grounding of such hards on advantage in the second such that the
And the execution of such bonds or undertakings in pursuance of these presents, shall be as binding upon said Company, as fully and amply, to all intents and purposes, as if they had been duly executed and action wiedged by the regularly elected officers of the Company at its office in Baltimore, Md., in their own proper persons. This power of attorney revokes that issued on
an interest and purposes, as it they had been dury exercised and acropy redged by the regularity elected officers of the Company
behalf of Virginia Heil, dated, Joly 5, 1920
The said Assistant Secretary does hereby certify that the extract set forth on the reverse side hereof is a true copy of Article VI, Section
2, of the By-Laws of said Company, and is now in force. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said Vice-President and Assessmus Secretary have hereunto subscribed their names and affixed the
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said vice-president and Assistant Secretary have hereunto subscribed their names and affixed the Corporate Seal of the said FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, this 25th day of
Corporate Seal of the said FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, this 25th day of September A.D. 1996
FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND
ATTEST: SCHALLE
By W
Assistant Secretary Vice-President
STATE OF MARYLAND SS:
County of Baltimore \(\) 33;
On this 25th day of September, A.D. 1996, before the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, duly
commissioned and qualified, came C. M. PECOT, JR., Vice-President and C. W. ROBBINS, Assistant Secretary of the FIDELITY AND
DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, to me personally known to be the individuals and officers described in and who executed the preceding
instrument, and they each acknowledged the execution of the same, and being by me duly sworn, severally and each for himself deposeth
and saith, that they are the said officers of the Company aforesaid, and that the seal affixed to the preceding instrument is the Corporate
Seal of said Company, and that the said Corporate Seal and their signatures as such officers were duly affixed and subscribed to the said
instrument by the authority and direction of the said Corporation.
IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Official Seal the day and year first above written.
GEOLIFICA TOTAL I I I
(MOTARY Tande
CAROL J. FADER Notary Public
My Commission Expires August 1, 2000
CERTIFICATE
I, the undersigned, Assistant Secretary of the FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, do hereby certify that the original
Power of Attorney of which the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy, is in full force and effect on the date of this certificate; and
I do further certify that the Vice-President who executed the said Power of Attorney was one of the additional Vice-Presidents specially
authorized by the Board of Directors to appoint any Attorney-in-Fact as provided in Article VI, Section 2, of the By-Laws of the
FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND.
This Certificate may be signed by facsimile under and by authority of the following resolution of the Board of Directors of the FIDELITY
AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND at a meeting duly called and held on the 16th day of July, 1969.
RESOLVED: "That the facsimile or mechanically reproduced signature of any Assistant Secretary of the Company, whether made heretofore
or hereafter, wherever appearing upon a certified copy of any power of attorney issued by the Company, shall be valid and binding upon
the Company with the same force and effect as though manually affixed."
IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the corporate seal of the said Company, this 10th
day of February 2004,
\mathcal{N}_{0}
Adreson Italy
Assistant Secretary
L1428c

EXTRACT FROM BY-LAWS OF FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND

"Article VI, Section 2. The Chairman of the Board, or the President, or any Executive Vice-President, or any of the Senior Vice-Presidents or Vice-Presidents specially authorized so to do by the Board of Directors or by the Executive Committee, shall have power, by and with the concurrence of the Secretary or any one of the Assistant Secretaries, to appoint Resident Vice-Presidents, Assistant Vice-Presidents and Attorneys-in-Fact as the business of the Company may require, or to authorize any person or persons to execute on behalf of the Company any bonds, undertakings, recognizances, stipulations, policies, contracts, agreements, deeds, and releases and assignments of judgements, decrees, mortgages and instruments in the nature of mortgages, . . . and to affix the seal of the Company thereto."

Bond No. 08742105

Bond

Know All Men By These Presents, That we, Santa Cruz Water Company, LLC, as Principal and Fidelity And Deposit Company of Maryland, A Maryland corporation authorized to do business in the State of Arizona, as Surety are held and firmly bound unto the Arizona Corporation Commission in the amount of Four Hundred Eighteen Thousand and 00/100 (\$418,000), lawful money of the United States of America for the payment of which the Principal and Surety are hereby jointly and severally bound.

Now Therefore, if the said Principal, or any assigns of his fails to provide competitive water services so furnished, the said Surety will pay the same to the users of the Principal with the consent of the Arizona Corporation Commission as Trustee, an amount not exceeding the sum hereinabove specified, then this obligation shall be null and void; otherwise it shall remain in full force and effect.

Provided Further, that regardless of the number of years this bond shall continue in force and the number of premiums which shall be payable or paid, the Surety shall not be liable thereunder for a larger amount, in the aggregate, than the amount of the bond.

Provided Further, that should the Surety so elect, this bond may be cancelled by the Surety as to subsequent liability by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing by certified mail to Obligee.

Signed, sealed and dated this 10th Day of February, 2004.

Santa Cruz Water Company, LLC

Fidelity And Deposit Company of Maryland

By:

By:

Virginia Bradley, Attorney-In-Fact 3020 E. Camelback Rd. #200 Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Ungrie Bradles

602-381-2877

Power of Attorney FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND

HOME OFFICE, BALTIMORE, MD

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That the FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, a corporation of the State of Maryland, by C. M. PECOT, JR., Vice-President, and C. W. ROBBINS, Assistant Secretary, in pursuance of authority granted by Article VI, Section 2, of the By-Laws of said Company, which are set forth on the reverse side hereof and are hereby certified to be in full force and effect on the date hereof, does hereby nominate, constitute and appoint Virginia Bradley of Phoenix, Arizona.

its true and lawful agent and Attorney-in-Fact, to make, execute, seal and deliver, for and on its behalf as surety, and as its act and deed	d.
any and all bonds and undertakings	
5/10 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 - 5/1/2 -	-
And the execution of such bonds or undertakings in pursuance of these presents, shall be as binding upon said Company, as fully are amply, to all intents and purposes, as if they had been duly executed and accordingly elected officers of the Comparat its office in Baltimore, Md., in their own proper persons. This power of attorney revokes that issued on	ıd
amply, to an intents and purposes, as it they had been duly executed and accompleded by the regularly elected officers of the Comparative officer in Baltimore. Md. in their own proper period.	ıу
behalf of Virginia Heil, dated, Koly 5, 1990.	.1
The said Assistant Secretary does hereby certify that the extract sot forth on the reverse side hereof is a true copy of Article VI, Section	n
2, of the By-Laws of said Company, and is now in force.	•
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said Vice-President and Assistant Secretary have hereunto subscribed their names and affixed the	
Corporate Scal of the said FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, this 25th day of September A.D. 1996	οf
(6)	
FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND	
ATTEST: SEAL	
Assistant Secretary By Vice-President	_
STATE OF MARYLAND	
COUNTY OF BALTIMORE SS:	
,	
On this 25th day of September, A.D. 1996, before the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, dul	
commissioned and qualified, came C. M. PECOT, JR., Vice-President and C. W. ROBBINS, Assistant Secretary of the FIDELITY AN	D
DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, to me personally known to be the individuals and officers described in and who executed the precedin	ıg
instrument, and they each acknowledged the execution of the same, and being by me duly sworn, severally and each for himself deposet	h
and saith, that they are the said officers of the Company aforesaid, and that the seal affixed to the preceding instrument is the Corporat	
Seal of said Company, and that the said Corporate Seal and their signatures as such officers were duly affixed and subscribed to the said	
instrument by the authority and direction of the said Corporation.	
IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Official Seal the day and year first above written.	
(g. NOTARY) CAROL J. FADER Notary Publi	
Total I Table	
My Commission Expires August 1, 2000	_
CERTIFICATE	
	_1
I, the undersigned, Assistant Secretary of the FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, do hereby certify that the origins	
Power of Attorney of which the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy, is in full force and effect on the date of this certificate; an	
I do further certify that the Vice-President who executed the said Power of Attorney was one of the additional Vice-Presidents specially	•
authorized by the Board of Directors to appoint any Attorney-in-Fact as provided in Article VI, Section 2, of the By-Laws of the	iC
FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND.	
This Certificate may be signed by facsimile under and by authority of the following resolution of the Board of Directors of the FIDELIT	Y
AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND at a meeting duly called and held on the 16th day of July, 1969.	
RESOLVED: "That the facsimile or mechanically reproduced signature of any Assistant Secretary of the Company, whether made heretofor	
or hereafter, wherever appearing upon a certified copy of any power of attorney issued by the Company, shall be valid and binding upon	n
the Company with the same force and effect as though manually affixed."	1
IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the corporate seal of the said Company, this day of February 2004	_
day of replace 2004	
$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{v}}$	
Accesse I mills	
Assistant Secretary	_
11/79-	

EXTRACT FROM BY-LAWS OF FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND

"Article VI, Section 2. The Chairman of the Board, or the President, or any Executive Vice-President, or any of the Senior Vice-Presidents specially authorized so to do by the Board of Directors or by the Executive Committee, shall have power, by and with the concurrence of the Secretary or any one of the Assistant Secretaries, to appoint Resident Vice-Presidents, Assistant Vice-Presidents and Attorneys-in-Fact as the business of the Company may require, or to authorize any person or persons to execute on behalf of the Company any bonds, undertakings, recognizances, stipulations, policies, contracts, agreements, deeds, and releases and assignments of judgements, decrees, mortgages and instruments in the nature of mortgages,...and to affix the seal of the Company thereto."

JOHNSON UTILITIES, L.L.C

5230 East Shea Boulevard * Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 PH: (480) 998-3300; FAX: (480) 483-7908

RECEIVED

2005 JAN 241P 12: 19

January 24, 2006

Brian Bozzo
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

RE:

Johnson Utilities, L.L.C.: Compliance with Decision No. 68236

RE: \$500,000 Performance Bond

WS-02987A-04-0889

Dear Mr. Bozzo:

Pursuant to the above mentioned decision, Johnson Utilities hereby submits this compliance filing in accordance with the Commission's order to procure a \$500,000 performance bond prior to retail service being provided to any customers in the CC&N extension area. Enclosed please find the \$500,000 Performance Bond from National Bank of Arizona in the form of an Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit attached hereto as Attachment No. 1. Johnson Utilities will file on or before April 15, 2006 a letter of bond confirmation as required by this Decision.

If you need any additional information in regards to this compliance item, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincercly.

Daniel Hodges

Johnson Utilities, LLC

Cc: Ernest Johnson, Director

Brian Tompsett, Johnson Utilities

Richard Sallquist, Sallquist, Drummond & O'Connor

Docket Control

ATTACHMENT 1



LC#:

10566

Date: Amount:

January 6, 2006 500,000.00

ARIZONA CORPORATE COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ. 85007

IRREVOCABLE STANDBY LETTER OF CREDIT

GENTLEMEN:

AT THE REQUEST OF: JOHNSON UTILITIES, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company, 5230 East Shea Blvd., Suite 200, Scottsdale, Az. 85254

FOR THE ACCOUNT OF: JOHNSON UTILITIES, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company, 5230 East Shea Blvd., Suite 200, Scottsdale, Az. 85254

WE HEREBY OPEN IN YOUR FAVOR OUR IRREVOCABLE STANDBY LETTER OF CREDIT FOR SUM OR SUMS NOT EXCEEDING FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO/100 U.S. DOLLARS

AVAILABLE BY YOUR DRAFT(S) AT SIGHT ON US

NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA Documentation Dept, AZ 7013 6001 N. 24th Street, PHOENIX, AZ 85016

WHEN DRAWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND ACCOMPANIED BY THE DOCUMENTS LISTED BELOW.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, THAT WE, JOHNSON UTILITIES, L.L.C., AS PRINCIPAL AND NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, AS SURETY ARE HELD AND FIRMLY BOUND UNTO THE ARIZONA CORPORATE COMMISSION IN THE AMOUNT OF FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND 00/100 (\$500,000.00) LAWFUL MONEY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE PAYMENT OF WHICH THE PRINCIPAL AND SURETY ARE HEREBY JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY BOUND.

NOW THEREFORE, IF THE SAID PRINCIPAL, OR ANY ASSIGNS OF HIS FAILS TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES SO FURNISHED, THE SAID SURETY WILL PAY THE SAME TO THE USERS OF THE PRINCIPAL WITH THE CONSENT OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATE COMMISSION AS TRUSTEE, AN AMOUNT NOT EXCEEDING THE SUM HEREINABOVE SPECIFIED, THEN THIS OBLIGATION SHALL BE NULL AND VOID; OTHERWISE IT SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

PROVIDED FURTHER, THAT REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF YEARS THIS LETTER OF CREDIT SHALL CONTINUE IN FORCE AND THE NUMBER OF PREMIUMS WHICH SHALL BE PAYABLE OR PAID, THE SURETY SHALL NOT BE LIABLE THEREUNDER FOR A LARGER AMOUNT, IN THE AGGREGATE, THAN THE AMOUNT OF THE BOND.

THIS LETTER OF CREDIT SHALL BE AUTOMATICALLY EXTENDED FOR AN ADDITIONAL PERIOD OF ONE YEAR FROM THE PRESENT OR EACH FUTURE EXPIRATION DATE UNLESS WE HAVE NOTIFIED YOU IN WRITING, NOT LESS THAN THIRTY (30) DAYS BEFORE SUCH EXPIRATION DATE, THAT WE

Unless otherwise expressly stated, this Letter of Credit is subject to the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits, established by The International Chamber of Commerce Publication, applicable on the date of this Letter of Credit.



ELECT NOT TO RENEW THIS LETTER OF CREDIT. OUR NOTICE OF SUCH ELECTION SHALL BE SENT CERTIFIED MAIL TO YOUR ABOVE ADDRESS (OR SUCH OTHER ADDRESS AS YOU MAY ADVISE US OF IN WRITING).

PARTIAL DRAWINGS ARE ALLOWED.

THE ORIGINAL OF THIS LETTER OF CREDIT MUST BE PRESENTED WITH ANY AND ALL DRAWINGS EFFECTED HEREUNDER. WE HEREBY AGREE WITH YOU THAT DRAFTS DRAWN UNDER AND IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THIS CREDIT, THAT SUCH DRAFTS, WILL BE DULY HONORED IF PRESENTED AT NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, DOCUMENTATION DEPT. AZ 7013, 6001 NORTH 24th STREET, PHOENIX, AZ 85016 ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 6, 2007.

DRAFTS DRAWN UNDER THIS CREDIT MUST BE ENDORSED AND CONTAIN THE CLAUSE "DRAWN UNDER NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA LETTER OF CREDIT NO. 10566 DATED JANUARY 6, 2006."

NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

Unless otherwise expressly stated, this Letter of Credit is subject to the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits, established by The International Chamber of Commerce Publication, applicable on the date of this Letter of Credit.

SALLQUIST, DRUMMOND & O'CONNOR, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
TEMPE OFFICE
4500 S. LAKESHORE DRIVE
SUITE 339
TEMPE, ARIZONA 85282

RICHARD L. SALLQUIST

PHONE (480) 839-5202 FACSIMILE (480) 345-0412 E-MAIL dick@sd-law.com

January 26, 2006

EMAIL AND US MAIL

Mr. Brian Bozzo, Compliance Officer Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Division 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Johnson Utilities Company; Docket Nos. WS-02987A-04-0288, WS-02987A-04-00889, & WS-02987A-05-0088; Decision Nos. 68237, 68236, & 68235, respecively; Compliance Bond

Dear Mr. Bozzo:

We are writing in response to your question to Mr. Tompsett regarding the efficacy of providing a Letter of Credit as opposed to a performance bond as the compliance requirement in subject Decisions. We submit that the Letter of Credit not only meets the requirement of those Decisions, but is a superior financial assurance than the form of performance bond you provided as a guide to the compliance requirement.

Please note the features of the Letter of Credit filed in the subject Dockets on January 23, 2006 that are equal to, or superior to, the form of bond you provided.

- 1. The purpose and function of the two instruments is identical. The funds will be available to the Commission in the event of adverse consequences to the Company resulting from the subject litigation.
- 2. The language of the Letter of Credit is virtually identical to the bond form you provided.
- 3. A Letter of Credit is a more secure financial instrument than a bond. The issuer of the Letter of Credit actually holds the Principals cash in the amount of the Letter of Credit, not just lien rights on assets as with a bond. In the commercial world, a secured party would vastly prefer a Letter of Credit to a bond.

- 4. The Letter of Credit is available to the Commission upon presentation of "draft(s) at site" as set forth in the Letter of Credit. Contrast that with the complex and time-consuming "claim" required under a bond or insurance instrument. It should be noted that those procedures are not even set forth in the bond terms.
- 5. The Letter of Credit provided to the Commission is issued by a well-established local bank, not a foreign insurance company. Therefore, executing on the Letter of Credit is much easier for the Commission.

As you are aware, in the past the Commission has, when requiring financial assurances associated with new certificated areas, included language in decisions requiring a "performance bond or letter of credit". Many small companies that are either underfinanced or whose owners have no established banking relationship are unable to obtain a letter of credit. In this instance a Letter of Credit is available. We believe the purpose and intent of the Commission is to be certain that funds are available to assure the ongoing operation of the utility and the provision of the service which the Commission has authorized. Either financial assurance would provide that, however as stated above, we believe the Letter of Credit provides even greater assurances to the Commission and is consistent with their intent.

In the event you have further questions please do not hesitate to call.

,,

Richard L. Sallquist

Cc: Docket Control (15 copies in each Docket)

David Ronald Brian Tompsett